## RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

No. 1159 P. 1

FEB 0 3 2006

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Greg Frankiewicz et al.

Serial No.: 10/797,859

Patent No.:

Filed: March 10, 2004

For: Light-Pipe Arrangement with Reduced

Fresnel-Reflection Losses

Office of Petitions
Mail Stop Petition
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Fax to: (571) 273-8300 ( / O pages total)

Attorney Docket No. 2509

Group Art Unit: 2883

Examiner: Charlie Peng

Allowed on: Batch No.:

Date of this document: February 3, 2006

## PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN APPLICATION FOR PATENT ABANDONED UNINTENTIONALLY UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(b)

Applicant hereby petitions for revival of the above-identified application for patent abandoned unintentionally under 37 CFR 1.137(b). The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to file a timely and proper reply to an action by the United States Patent and Trademark Office.

Incidentally, the action, which was apparently posted by the USPTO on July 13, 2005, never reached the undersigned's new office despite a timely and proper change of address order filed with the United States Postal Service that, in fact, resulted in other exactly addressed mail from the US Patent Office properly reaching the undersigned's office. If the USPTO deems the foregoing as acceptable grounds for re-sending the action, then (1) such alternative relief is requested in lieu of reviving the application under 37 CFR 1.137(b), and (2) return of the enclosed Credit Card Payment Form is requested.

As required by 37 CFR 1.137(b), this petition includes the following three items:

- 1. Petition fee of \$750.00 for a small entity, to be paid by the enclosed Credit Card Payment Form.
- 2. Reply to action of July 13, 2005.

02/06/2006 TL0111 00000028 10797859

01 FC:2453

750.00 OP

No. 1159 P. 2

Feb. 3. 2006 5:40PM

Application No. 10/797,859 Date: February 3, 2006

3. The following statement: "The entire delay in filing the required reply from the date for the required reply until the filing of a grantable petition was unintentional."

## Conclusion

For the foregoing reasons, the present application should be revived and the enclosed reply made of record.

I certify that the foregoing document and any document(s) referenced below are being faxed to the above-mentioned recipient at the above-mentioned fax number on the date stated below.

Dated: February 3, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

Charles E. Bruzga Registration No. 28,935 Customer No. 07617

Enclosures: Credit (

Credit Card Payment Form Amendment (i.e., reply)